

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FIL	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/982,992	09/982,992 10/22/2001		Joseph M. Patti	P06922US02/BAS	7767
881	7590	02/06/2003			
LARSON &		•	EXAMINER		
1199 NORTH FAIRFAX STREET SUITE 900			HINES, JAN		ANA A
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				<u> </u>	TALER NOMBER
				1645	il .
				DATE MAILED: 02/06/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/982,992	PATTI ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Ja-Na A Hines	1645					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 F	-						
, —	s action is non-fin						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-29</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) <u>1-29</u> are subject to restriction and/or e	election requireme	ent.					
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	,						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲	Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Other:					
LO Debut and Tank							

Application/Control Number: 09/982,992

Art Unit: 1645

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 1-14, 18 and 22-26 are drawn to an isolated antibody that binds to
 Map10 protein, classified in class 530, subclass 387.1.
 - II. Claims 15-17 are drawn to a diagnostic kit and method of diagnosing an infection classified in class 435, subclass 34.
 - III. Claim 19 is drawn to a method of treating or preventing an infection, classified in class 435, subclass 7.1.
 - IV. Claim 20 is drawn to a method of inducing an immunological response, classified in class 435, subclass 4.
 - Claim 21 is drawn to a method of identifying antibodies to the Map10 protein, classified in class 435, subclass 7.33.
 - VI. Claims 27-29 are drawn to an isolated *S. aureus* Map10 protein, classified in class 424, subclass 29.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and III, or VII are unrelated. The products are distinct as claimed because they have different structures and different uses. Group I is drawn to an isolated antibody; while group VI is drawn to an isolated protein. Each group has a different function, effect and is capable of use without the other. For instance, the antibody product of Group I has a different structure when compared to the other groups. Moreover, the antibody has a different function, i.e., capable of binding an

Art Unit: 1645

antigen, unlike the other products. Each group has a different structure, produces different effects and has a different function from the other groups. Therefore, the products of the inventions are distinct as claimed.

- 3. Inventions II and any of III, IV or V are related as distinct methods or are unrelated. The methods are distinct as claimed because they have different method steps; different functions and the effects have different final outcomes. Group II is drawn to a method of diagnosing an infection. No other method requires the same method steps or produces the same result. For instance Group III's method of treating or preventing an infection comprises administering an antibody while Group IV's method of inducing an immunological response comprises administering a protein. The method comprise different steps and comprise administering different products. No other method requires the same steps, reagents and provides the same outcome. Each group has requires additional unrelated agents, produce different effects and have different functions from any other group. Therefore, the methods of the inventions are distinct as claimed.
- 4. Inventions I and II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case, the antibody of Group I

Application/Control Number: 09/982,992

Art Unit: 1645

could be practiced with a materially different process, such as using the antibodies in a method to provide passive immunity to a host. Therefore the inventions are distinct.

Page 4

- 5. Inventions I and either III or IV are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case, the antibody of Group I could be practiced with a materially different process, such as using the antibodies in a method to detect the Map10 protein. Therefore the inventions are distinct.
- 6. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 7. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II-IV, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 8. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Application/Control Number: 09/982,992

Art Unit: 1645

9. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected

invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one

or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim

remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by

a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(l).

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Ja-Na Hines whose telephone number is

(703) 305-0487. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday

from 6:30am to 4:00pm. The examiner can also be reached on alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Lynette Smith, can be reached on (703) 308-3909. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 308-4242.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is

(703) 308-0196.

Ja-Na Hines 4 January 31, 2003

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600

Page 5